1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney	
2	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8 9	SARAH HAWKINS (CABN 257723) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7126 Fax: (415) 436-7207 Email: Sarah.Hawkins@usdoj.gov Attorneys for United States of America	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR 15-0489 RS
14	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) EXCLUDING TIME FROM OTHERWISE
15	v.) APPLICABLE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT) CALCULATION
16 17	RANDOLPH EDMOND KNOBLOCK, ERIC SHELBY MELOVICH, and DOUGLAS LEE SMITH,))))
18	Defendants.)) _)
19		
20	STIPULATION	
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, through undersigned counsel, that:	
22	1. The parties appeared before the Court on May 3, 2016 at 2:30 p.m. for a status hearing.	
23	Mr. Knoblock was present and represented by Federal Public Defender Stephen Kalar. Mr. Melovich	
24	was present and represented by Peter Goodman. Assistant United States Attorney Sarah Hawkins	
25	appeared for the Government. The parties requested a continuance of the matter, with time excluded for	
26	effective preparation of counsel.	
27	2. Accordingly, with the parties' ag	reement as to the new date, the Court scheduled another
28	status hearing for July 26, 2016 at 2:30 p.m., with the understanding that the parties would submit a	
	STIP. AND ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 15-0489 RS	1

Stipulation and Proposed Order excluding time. 1 2 The parties now formalize their request for a continuance of this matter to July 26, 2016 3 at 2:30 p.m. for a status conference, and respectfully submit and agree that the period from May 3, 2016 through and including July 26, 2016 should be excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act 4 5 computation because the continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 6 7 4. The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary 8 for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The 9 parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time for the purposes of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a 10 speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). 11 12 13 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 14 15 DATED: May 11, 2016 BRIAN J. STRETCH **United States Attorney** 16 17 SARAH HAWKINS 18 Assistant United States Attorney 19 DATED: May 11, 2016 20 21 STEPHEN G. KALAR 22 Counsel for Randolph Edmond Knoblock 23 24 DATED: May 11, 2016 25 PETER GOODNAM 26 Counsel for Eric Melovich 27 28

PROPOSED ORDER

Based upon the above-described Stipulation, THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from May 3, 2016 through and including July 26, 2016 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and that failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

- 1. The parties shall appear before the Court on July 26, 2016 at 2:30 p.m. for further status conference.
- 2. The period from May 3, 2016 through and including July 26, 2016 is excluded from the otherwise applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

DATED: 5/26/16

IT IS SO ORDERED.

HON. RICHARD SEEBOK United States District Judge